

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.	C.	20231	
----------------	----	-------	--

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/739,990 12/18/0	0 CHANG		R 57165-5019		
_	QM02/0313	٦	EXAMINER		
ROD S. BERMAN, ESQ. JEFFER, MANGELS, BUT		[	VAN , Q ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOOR 2121 AVENUE OF THE S LOS ANGELES CA 90067		•	3742  DATE MAILED:	.4 03/13/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/739,990	CHANG ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quang Van	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from s, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claims <u>37-48</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
ு் a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
THE TOURS HOUSE HELD OF A CHARLES FROM PARTY AND A CONTROL OF THE						
Attachment(s)						
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

The preliminary amendment, instead of canceling claims 2-39, should be canceling claims 2-36, because there are only 36 claims in the application. There fore misnumbered claims 40-51 have been renumbered 37-48.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 37-45, drawn to a hand-held electric sealer, classified in class 219, subclass 243.
  - II. Claims 46-48, drawn to a method of activating an electric heat sealer, classified in class 219, subclass 256.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

**Art Unit: 3742** 

806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed in Group II can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, such as, the process can be practiced by the a hand-held electric sealer has a heater mounted on an offset sealer portion.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A: sealing unit in the base. Species B: sealing unit on the top.

If species A (sealing unit in the base) is elected, applicant has further to elect one of the following sub-species:

Sub-species A1 (figures 1-4, 19)

Sub-species A2 (figure 5, 19)

Sub-species A3 (figures 6-7)

Sub-species A4 (figures 9-10)

Sub-species A5 (figures 11-13)

Sub-species A6 (figures 14-16, 19)

Sub-species A7 (figures 17, 19)

Sub-species A8 (figures 18-19)

If species B (sealing unit on the top)is elected, applicant has further to elect one of the following sub-species:

Sub-species B1 (figures 1-4, 19-21)

Sub-species B2 (figure 5, 19-21)

Sub-species B3 (figures 6-7, 20-21)

Sub-species B4 (figures 9-10, 20-21)

Sub-species B5 (figures 11-13, 20-21)

Sub-species B6 (figures 14-16, 19-21) Sub-species B7 (figures 17, 19-21)

Art Unit: 3742

Sub-species B8 (figures 18-21).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3742

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang Van whose telephone number is 703-306-9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 M-F.

 $\Omega V$ 

QV

March 9, 2001

Teresa Walberg

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Group 3700